Analysis of Unsteadinesses in a Vaned Diffuser of Centrifugal Machinery
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Abstract:
The aim of this article is to present experimental results obtained on a fan composed of a radial impeller matched with a vaned diffuser. There is no volute downstream to the diffuser. Consequently the diffuser outlet is at free air. The particularity of this machinery is that the diffuser design flow rate corresponds to 80\% of the impeller one. Investigations have been made for five different flow rates. Global performances of the machine are evaluated thanks to pressure measurements and averaged velocities obtain with a three holes probe, at nine angular positions at diffuser inlet and outlet just as five radial positions in a middle section of a blade-to-blade passage. In order to better characterize separations observed in previous experimental and numerical studies unsteady pressure measurement campaigns have been carried out. The transducers are located at five positions on the pressure face and four positions on the suction face on diffuser vanes in a blade-to-blade plane. For each position, three transducers are located at three different heights, from hub to shroud. Unsteadinesses revealed by these measurements just as theirs effects on the diffuser performance are analysed.
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1 Introduction

In centrifugal pumps and fans the flow is complex (three dimensional and unsteady) and combine several features; in the diffuser of such machines the main source of unsteadiness is the interaction of rotating blades of the impeller with the diffuser vanes [1, 7, 8, 9]. These unsteadinesses increase when the machine is not operating at its design flow rate. To study these unsteady flows, previous works
involving PIV measurements had been conducted on the so-called SHF impeller [4, 11]. They revealed the occurrence of fluctuating separation regions on the vanes at off-design conditions. The aim of the present paper is to enrich previous studies by analyzing the diffuser performance and exploring the effects of these separation regions on the pressure fluctuations in the diffuser. To do so, new experimental campaigns have been conducted and are reported in this paper: they consisted in static pressure measurements on the diffuser walls, three-hole probe measurements and unsteady pressure measurements on the diffuser vanes. These experiments have been realized at five flow rates.

2 Experimental setup

The experiments have been conducted on a pump working with air and in similarity conditions compared to water. It is composed of the SHF impeller matched with a vaned diffuser whose characteristics are reported in table 1 and table 2.

| Inlet radius | $R_1 = 141.1$ mm |
| Outlet radius | $R_2 = 256.6$ mm |
| Outlet width | $B_2 = 38.3$ mm |
| Number of blades | $Z_1 = 7$ |
| Outlet blade angle | $\beta_{2a} = 22.30^\circ$ |
| Mean blade thickness | $S = 9$ mm |
| Design speed of rotation | $N = 1710$ rpm |
| Design flow rate | $Q_d = 0.3365$ m$^3$/s at 1710 rpm |

Table 1: Impeller characteristics

| Inlet radius | $R_2 = 273.6$ mm |
| Relative radial gap | $(R_3 - R_2)/R_2 = 6.65\%$ |
| Outlet radius | $R_4 = 397.8$ mm |
| Number of blades | $Z_2 = 8$ |
| Inlet blade angle | $\alpha_{31} = 10.21^\circ$ (at the blade leading edge, $R = 275.94$ mm) |
| | $\alpha_{32} = 15.85^\circ$ (in the center of the inlet throat, $R = 298.06$ mm) |
| Outlet blade angle | $\alpha_4 = 39.55^\circ$ (in the center of the inlet throat, $R = 371.88$ mm) |
| Constant width | $B_4 = 40$ mm |
| Design flow rate | $0.80Q_d$ |

Table 2: Diffuser characteristics

It has to be noticed that:

- there is no volute downstream to the diffuser in order to focus the analysis on the impeller-diffuser interaction.
- the diffuser design flow rate is corresponding to 80 % of the impeller design flow rate. This configuration has been chosen to obtain a better performance of the pump at partial flow rates.

A more detailed presentation of the test rig is proposed in [2, 3, 4, 6] and a sketch in figure 2. Several types of measurements have been carried out in the diffuser:

- The performance and the pressure rise of the diffuser were estimated by:
  - static pressure measurements on the shroud wall of the diffuser (figure 1(a)) with a YEW Type 2654 digital manometer associated with a 20 pneumatic lines BEXHILL selector.
  - three-hole probe measurements (figure 1(b)) which holes are connected to a Honeywell type pressure tap device.
- Besides, unsteady pressure measurements were obtained with 8510B-1 Endevco pressure transducers (figure 1(c)) on the suction and pressure sides walls of two diffuser vanes in the same passage. The unsteady pressure measurements were held with an acquisition time of 300 s and a sampling frequency of 6000 Hz. To avoid aliasing, a low pass filter was used with a 3000 Hz cut-off frequency. Auto Power Spectrum was realized with 2048 points Hanning windows with 50% overlap.

For all campaigns, the impeller rotation speed was 1710 rpm, for five flow rates ($Q/Q_d=0.38, 0.59, 0.77, 0.97$ and 1.13). The discussion will focus on the results obtained at mid-height of the diffuser.
The results of these campaigns are compared to already published [4, 6, 11] 2D-2C Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) data which were obtained for four flow rates. The image acquisitions were phase locked with the impeller position for 7 impeller positions.

(a) Static pressure locations  (b) Three-hole probe locations  (c) Pressure transducers locations

Figure 1: Experimental campaigns

3 Global performance

Figure 2: Sketch of the test rig

Figure 3: Global performance, $\Psi = \frac{\Delta P}{\rho * U_2^2}$, $\Delta P$: static pressure rise, $\rho$: air density and $U_2$: rotational velocity at impeller outlet

Figure 3 shows the performance of the fan and its components. What is obvious in this figure is that the diffuser performance is very bad for $Q > Q_d$, as the static pressure is decreasing which means there are great losses in it. A more detailed analysis of the diffuser performance is proposed in figure 4 which presents the static pressure evolution with radius. The analysis of this figure shows clearly that the diffuser can be divided in two regions:

- the first one, for $r^*<0.2$, corresponds to a region located between the diffuser inlet and the diffuser inlet throat. In this region the static pressure rise for $Q/Q_d=0.385$, 0.579 and 0.762, whereas the static pressure decreases for $Q/Q_d=0.975$ and 1.134. Concerning this region, it is also noticeable that the pressure recovery is not so good at $Q/Q_d=0.762$ whereas this flow rate is the closest to the diffuser design flow rate. This could be explained by the existence of a "positive" leakage (that is, from the ambient air to the machine) flow in the gap between the diffuser and the impeller which implies that the real diffuser mass flow rate is greater than the impeller mass flow rate. This is confirmed by the existence of a small detached region near the vane leading edge at this flow rate, as it was evidenced by [5] and [11].

- the second one, for $r^*>0.2$, corresponds to a region downstream to the diffuser inlet throat. In this region the static pressure rises with radius whatever the flow rate is and the pressure rise increases with the flow rate.

The bad performance of the diffuser at high flow rates observed figure 3 (a) can be linked to losses in the first region of the diffuser. The rest of the paper will focus on the flow behavior in this region.
Figure 4: Static pressure evolution in the diffuser, $\Psi_D = \frac{P - P_3}{\rho \ast U_2^2}$, $P$: local static pressure, $P_3$: static pressure at diffuser inlet, $\rho$: air density, $U_2$: rotational velocity at impeller outlet and $r^* = \frac{R - R_3}{R_4 - R_3}$

4 Analysis and results

This paragraph will focus in the first region of the diffuser to try to identify the flow phenomena associated with the losses evidenced at the two greater flow rates. It will be illustrated by comparing results obtained at $Q/Q_d = 0.57$ where a strong pressure rise was observed and $Q/Q_d = 0.934$ where an important pressure decrease was noticed. Figure 5 presents turbulent rate charts obtained, from PIV results, for two flow rates and for several position of the impeller. In these charts, there are red zones of high turbulence (>10%). In the impeller, theses areas should not be considered for the analysis of the results because they are representative of inconsistent values [11]. At the lower flow
rate \((Q/Q_d = 0.57)\), the turbulent rate is moderate \((<5\%)\) in the whole domain whereas a region of high turbulence is existing at the greater flow rate. This region is the evidence of a separation of the boundary layer. It has also to be noticed that the size of this zone is fluctuating with the impeller position: it is greater when the impeller is facing the diffuser vane leading edge.

The effect of this separation is directly noticeable on the pressure fluctuations measured on the diffuser vanes on the pressure side (figure 6): it can be seen, for \((Q/Q_d = 0.57)\), that the level of fluctuation is moderate (lower than 70 Pa) and decreasing with radius to reach 10 Pa at diffuser outlet. On the contrary, at \(Q/Q_d = 0.934\), the RMS pressure fluctuations are reaching 160 Pa at the vane leading edge and stays at a high level while \(r^*<0.2\) which corresponds more or less to the separated region. On the contrary, for normalized radii greater than 0.3 (that is outside of the separated region) the level of the RMS fluctuations is becoming close to the values measured at \(Q/Q_d = 0.57\).

The high values of the RMS observed at \(Q/Q_d = 0.934\) can be attributed to two different factors, as it can be deduced from the analysis of the spectra presented in figure 7.

- First of all, there is an increase of the peak associated with the blade passing frequency (BPF) and its harmonics which could be related to the pulsations of the separated regions evidenced on the charts.
- Secondly, there is a global increase of the amplitude on a large band of frequency which could be associated with the increase of the turbulent rate [10].

On the contrary, outside from the separated region, the spectra obtained at \(Q/Q_d = 0.57\) and \(Q/Q_d = 0.934\) are very similar (fig 6b).
5 Conclusions

Some experiments including PIV and static pressure measurements managed in the vaned diffuser of a centrifugal fan were reported in the present paper. They have evidenced the occurrence of a large fluctuating separated region at the leading edge of the diffuser, on pressure side. This region induces an increase of the peak associated with the BPF and its harmonics as well as a global increase of the pressure amplitude on a large band of frequency. Consequently, the RMS value of fluctuations is also increased. The presence of this phenomenon affects badly the performance of the diffuser as it is increasing highly the losses in the region between the vane leading edge and the inlet throat of the diffuser.
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